

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/649,791	08/28/2003	Marc D. Belcastro	021238-595	5604	
21839	7590 05/16/2005		EXAM	INER	
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P			MILLER, T	MILLER, TAKISHA S	
	POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2855		
		•	DATE MAILED: 05/16/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/649,791	BELCASTRO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Takisha Miller	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	<u> </u>					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraws 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
. Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Its have been received in Application Its have been received.	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail E	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED Final ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 2/28/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On page 8 of the 2/28/05 response, Applicant argues with respect to independent claims 1,7,9 and 13 that Bokelman et al. fails to disclose applying a sample code containing stored data for that location, or including data for that location. This argument is not persuasive because Bokelman et al. clearly teaches an ink jet printer for printing information on the paper by reference to the output of an encoder (see Col. 4, lines 58-61 and Col.14, lines 7-9). Applicant also argues that Bokelman et al. prints a "mark" on the paper at a particular location. Examiner points out that this "mark" denotes stored information by reference to the output of the encoder (see Col. 9, lines 59 – Col.10, line 59). Therefore, this argument is not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-10 and 13-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bokelman et al. (5,966,218)(hereinafter Bokelman).
 - a. With respect to claims 1,3-7,9,13,14 and 17, Bokelman teaches a method and system (2) for automatically inspecting a web of material at specific locations on said web of material during a manufacturing process comprising an unwind spindle (8,10)

Application/Control Number: 10/649,791

Art Unit: 2855

containing said web of material, a series of rollers (24,26-31) over which said web of material is guided, a rewind spindle (6,33) onto which said web of material is rewound, a testing device (14,16,18) for measuring a characteristic of said web of material at a location on said web of material between said unwind spindle (8,10) and said rewind spindle (6,33)(Col. 4, lines 36-57) and a printing device (20) that is adapted to print a sample code including information corresponding to a measured characteristic of a location on said web, said printing device (20) being positioned to print said sample code on said web at said location on said web (Col. 7, lines 50-65)(Fig.3).

- b. With respect to claims 2,8 and 17, Bokelman teaches a method and system further including collecting and evaluating finished cigarettes having at least one of said sample codes on said cigarette tipping paper (Col. 7, lines 62-65).
- c. With respect to claim 10, Bokelman teaches a method and system further including determining test parameters before said measuring of a characteristic of said web of material, preparing a database file including information corresponding to said test parameters and incorporating said information corresponding to said test parameters on said sample code in addition to said information corresponding to said measured characteristic (Col. 10, lines 5-59).
- d. With respect to claim 15, Bokelman teaches a method and system wherein said testing device (14,16,18) measures a dimension of said web of material (Col. 7, lines 37-49).

Art Unit: 2855

With respect to claim 16, Bokelman teaches a method and system wherein said e. testing device (14,16,18) visually inspects said web of material for out of specification conditions (Col. 7, lines 62-65).

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 4. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bokelman. Bokelman discloses measuring a characteristic of a web of material while the web is moving. The use of the particular type of process claimed by applicant, i.e., measuring the characteristic while the web is stationary, absent any criticality, is considered to be nothing more than a choice of engineering skill, choice or design because 1) neither non-obvious nor unexpected results, i.e., results which are different in kind and not in degree from the results of the prior art, will be obtained as long as the web is measured for the same characteristic, as already suggested by Bokelman, 2) the measuring process claimed by Applicant and the measuring process used by Bokelman are well known alternate types of measuring processes which will perform the same function, if one is replaced with the other, and 3) the use of the particular type of measuring process used by Applicant is considered to be nothing more than the use of one of numerous and well known alternate types of measuring processes that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been able to provide using routine experimentation in

Application/Control Number: 10/649,791

Art Unit: 2855

order to effectively measure a characteristic of the web material as already suggested by Bokelman. Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Bokelman to include the limitation above since that process is alternatively used in the art.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Takisha Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-2184. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (7:00 am - 3:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2855

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

m

MAX NOORI PRIMARY EXAMINE

PRIMARY E